

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	CIDOT VALUE		
<del></del>	02/25/2004	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,812		Shiying Zheng	85464RLO	3347
7590 10/27/2004 Pamela R. Crocker			EXAMINER GARRETT, DAWN I.	
Patent Legal Staff				
Eastman Kodak 343 State Street	Company		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rochester, NY 14650-2201		ι	1774	
			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(.		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
14	Office Action Summan	10/786,812	ZHENG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dawn Garrett	1774				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addres	S			
I HE - Extra afte - If th - If N - Fail	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1.  or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this commun	nication.			
Status							
1)  🂢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe	ahruany 2004		•			
3)							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quavle 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.G. 213	its is			
Disposit	ion of Claims	panie 2007ie, 1000 0.D. 11	, 400 0.0. 210.				
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.</li> </ul>						
1	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	ion Papers	orodon requirement.					
1							
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)23	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)[7	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	*	(a) (a) or (i).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	or a man or tallonal orago				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified copies not recei	ved.				
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	in/ (PTO-412)				
2) Notice	Date						
3) ເ⊠ Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 2-25-2004.	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tra PTOL-326 (Re			Part of Paper No /Mail Date 2004	10023			

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 5 is considered indefinite because the claim recites "other light emitting material". It is unclear what "other" light emitting materials may be included in addition to fluorescent dyes and phosphorescent dopants.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mori (US 5,281,489). Mori discloses light emitting devices comprising a luminescent layer comprising luminescent material and a polymeric binder agent (see col. 27, lines 15-38). The luminescent material is disposed between a cathode and an anode (see abstract).
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kido et al. (JP 09-063770). Kido et al. discloses a light emitting device comprising a light emitting layer composed of a polymer and an emitting compound dispersed in the polymer (see abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,812 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shi et al. (US 6,329,086). Shi et al. discloses a light emitting device comprising a polymeric light emitting material that is doped with a fluorescent material and is disposed between an anode and a cathode (see claim 6).

## **Double Patenting**

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claim 5 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,086. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claims a doped polymeric material that is disposed between electrodes to form a light emitting device.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 1-4 are allowed. The closest prior art is considered to be U.S. Patent No. 6,361,887, which teaches a polymeric substance comprising a similar anthracene derivative to the anthracene derivative comprising the polymeric substance of the claims. The prior art fails to

.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,812

Art Unit: 1774

Page 4

teach or to render obvious an OLED with a polymer comprising an anthracene derivative attached to a polymeric backbone at the specific position of the anthracene derivative as claimed.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is 571-272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

D.G. October 25, 2004